

EVOLUTION-The BIG LIE Of Atheists And Agnostics!

Evolution stands exposed as a myth; a fanciful theory where so-called “fact” is built upon assumption; where theory replaces data; where guesswork replaces logic; where anti-supernaturalistic bias reigns supreme. Evolution is built on the house of cards called “The Geologic Succession Of Strata,” which ASSUMES that the “oldest rocks” containing the “simplest forms of fossil life” are ALWAYS beneath “younger” rocks, which supposedly proves that life “evolved” from “simple” to complex; that men came from amoeba. Here, you will discover the astonishing truth about evolution’s big lie!

There are literally thousands of proofs that a Creator God exists. The Bible says we can know much about our Creator by looking at the things He has made! Paul wrote, “For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who hold the truth in unrighteousness;

*“Because that which *may be known of God is manifest* in them [EVIDENT to them; plain to see, right before their eyes!]; for God hath showed it unto them.*

“For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world [by looking at the creation itself] are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse:

“Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened” (Romans 1:18-21).

We know a house had an architect and a builder. We know an automobile had a manufacturer. We know a child had parents. We know a watch had a maker. We know that an airplane was designed by aeronautical engineers, and that crystals form the same way every time, according to their properties. We know that mosquitoes hatch from larvae, which were laid by adult mosquitoes, which were hatched from larvae, just as we know chickens were hatched from eggs, which were laid by adult hens, which were hatched from eggs. We know that all life exists in a *cycle*, and that life comes only from pre-existing life. Further, life comes only from pre-existing life of the *same kind*.

These are ABSOLUTES. They are inexorable, immutable, unchanging. How utterly stupid it is to IGNORE the absolute; the positive, unchanging laws which regulate all life forms, and rely instead on fanciful theories which have no basis in fact.

Up front, it is important to remember, however, that there IS such a thing as “micro evolution,” or, in other words, almost limitless variety within a Genesis kind; almost limitless adaptation. Hundreds of examples are instantly evident; moths which adapt to their environment, becoming virtually invisible as they take on the texture and color of plants and trees so as to conceal themselves from predators; the many hundreds of breeds of dogs (resulting, in the main, from man’s selective breeding); the incredible variety within the human race, from pygmies in the Ituri Forest in Africa to a Swede who is 8 feet tall; from tiny Shetlands and miniature horses to the huge Percheron and Clydesdale; the myriad number of kinds of hummingbirds.

Evolutionists are fond of pointing to “MICRO-evolution,” meaning the many VARIETIES within a kind, and applying it to their theory that a kind evolved from a different kind! This is utterly untrue. Whether pygmy or gigantic Swede, they are both human beings, and inter-fertile. Whether a snowshoe rabbit is white in the winter or brown in the summer, it is still a snowshoe rabbit, and is not in the process of becoming a whale, or a horse, or a monkey. Whether a chameleon which is green on a green leaf, and brown on a brown leaf, it is still a chameleon, and will give birth to other chameleons, not to a different species. Actually, the very fact of such marvelous adaptation, such as the camouflage of certain birds, insects, animals and fish, is another PROOF OF GOD; a proof of His intricate DESIGN placed within a myriad of His creatures.

All around us are proofs of God. The closer we look into the marvels of what men refer to as “Mother Nature” (interesting they refuse to call it “Father”), the more awesome, the more law-abiding, the more intricate, the more perfectly designed is everything we see.

We know much about the Creator by looking at His CREATION. Creation means all that IS; all that exists. That means the entire universe; all the stars and their planetary systems; all laws, all energy.

We know that matter is *energy* arranged in intricate, law-abiding ways. Matter is anything that has weight, and occupies space. Even air is “matter.” Where did it

come from? What was before it?

Obviously, a study of only a *part* of creation would require enough books to fill a very large library. Every conceivable physical science would be involved: astronomy, biology, geology and all their divisions such as historical and dynamic geology, microbiology and genetics—a vast field of special disciplines involving every aspect of the material universe would be involved in such a study. You should do yourself a favor, and, limiting your study to only ONE subject of the myriad number available, go to a public library, and study the articles in an encyclopedia about such mundane and every day, taken-for-granted things such as “air,” or “water,” or “light.” I promise you, you will be fascinated!

“Terra Firma,” The Rocks Beneath Our Feet

Nothing is more immediately obvious, when thinking of “the creation,” than the rocks beneath our feet. To illustrate only a few of these thousands of challenges to the vain theory of evolution, let’s investigate the so-called “geologic succession of strata.” This phrase suggests there is an orderly succession in the rocks of our earth; that the most ancient rocks are always on the “bottom,” (an inaccurate and wholly irrelevant term), and that the younger rocks, containing much more “recent” forms of fossil life, are always on top.

Are the oldest rocks always on the bottom?

No! They most definitely are NOT! However, there is a caution here, as you will see later. The very terms “older” and “younger” are applied, NOT because of the order of the rocks; the depth at which they are found, or which layer is atop another, but because of the *kind of fossil life forms* found within the rocks! As you will see, this is reasoning in a circle, and not true science at all. Evolutionary geology is built around the presupposition that our earth consists of layers of rock found in succession as they were deposited over aeons of time; that the very oldest rocks, containing no fossils, are at the bottom; that the “Archeozoic” and “Protorozoic” (“before life”) rocks contain no fossil remains; that the early “Paleozoic” rocks contain only “simple” life forms; that “Mesozoic” rocks contain ever more complex life forms until one arrives at the most “recent” strata, such as the ice ages (Paleocene, Eocene, Oligocene, Miocene, Pliocene, Pleistocene, and Recent), where one finds mammoths and man.

Further, evolutionary hypotheses are based upon the supposition that all these rocks were laid down over *vast aeons of time*; that the fossil record shows the passage of billions of years; that the fossils in the rocks were *not laid down suddenly, as a result of great catastrophes, like a world-wide flood!* Yet, the Bible not only asserts that the flood of Noah was global, but allows, in the first verses of Genesis, for any number of submergences of the continental land masses beneath the waters of the seas. Any geologist knows that fossil sea shells are found atop the highest mountains on earth; from the Rockies to the Himalayas.

Evolutionists are fond of arranging the fossils as they are allegedly found in the rocks in museums, and in illustrations in textbooks. Yet, most laymen have assumed that only the deepest, most “ancient,” strata contain these “primitive” fossil forms. This, in spite of the fact that fossil dinosaurs are found *on or near the surface* in places from China to Colorado. Evolutionists established a theory long ago, and have built an incredibly warped, shaky, unstable superstructure atop it. They date the strata by the fossils found in them, and then date the fossils by the supposed age of the strata.

As one collegiate-grade text asserts, “All fossil evidence has some significance in determining the geologic time of deposition of strata. Thus in the case of man the correlation of artifacts with the bones of extinct Pleistocene mammals is the chief reliance in dating stone age man with regard to the various glacial and interglacial stages of that epoch.

“Again, *any* strata that contain dinosaur bones must be Mesozoic; those with vertebrate remains must be later than Ordovician, and trilobite fossils mean Paleozoic time” (*Geology*, by von Engeln and Caster, p. 435, emphasis mine). Talk about dogma. Note that well. “*Any strata that contain dinosaur bones must be Mesozoic.*” While this is simply not so, it sounds like a pronouncement issued by an individual that claims infallibility. A kind of evolutionary pope, speaking from the holy see of erudition and anti-God evolution, issuing an infallible edict which all are required to accept.

There are insurmountable difficulties with the so-called “Geologic Succession of Strata.” Let’s take a look at some of them.

The “Geologic Succession Of Strata” Is False!

First, there is *no place on earth where the entire geologic succession of strata can be found*. Obviously, the concept of the earth’s sedimentary rocks being found in orderly form, from most ancient to most recent is impossible to begin with. Where did the rocks come from? Rocks are either sedimentary (water deposited), metamorphic (formed by changes caused by faulting, pressure, and so-on) or igneous (volcanic). Since there are no fossils in igneous rocks, and since there are virtually no fossils in metamorphic rocks, scientists are limited to investigating the water-borne deposits, such as various kinds of marbles, sandstone, limestone and shale, to establish an age for the strata.

The strata are dated according to the fossils found in them. The fossils are dated according to the strata in which they are found. Does that sound rather arbitrary? It is. As we shall see, evolutionary geology immediately discards data; facts — evidence in the amount of billions of tons of rock; whole mountain ranges, mammoth regions of the earth, where the fossils found in the rocks contradict their theories.

True science always alters a given theory to admit proven facts. Not so with evolution. To illustrate this point, let’s get right to one of the most poignant, and embarrassing, proofs. An important one is the ridiculous attempt by geologists to claim that whenever the fossils are “out of order” according to their “geologic succession of strata,” there is something wrong with the ROCKS! Perhaps, they say in many cases, the rocks are UPSIDE DOWN!

When you walk into your bedroom and see the bed made, you probably suppose your wife spread the sheet on the bed prior to the cover, and the cover prior to the bedspread. She would look a little silly putting the bedspread on first, and then burrowing beneath it, attempting to spread the sheet. If she had done so, out of caprice, there would probably be evidence pointing to the fact, for it would be virtually impossible to do a neat job unless she once again straightened the bedspread.

When you view layers of rock, as exposed in highway cuts, canyons (like the Grand Canyon of Arizona) and river banks, and you see massive layers, sometimes twenty, thirty feet thick, seemingly as smooth and cohesive as if they had been mixed in a blender, lying conformably atop each other in orderly succession, it is

logical to assume the layers on the bottom (if no evidence of faulting, such as tilted, folded, or fractured strata: isoclines, synclines, etc., is present) were deposited first; then the ones immediately above them, and, lastly, the layer on the top.

You would be quite correct, of course. However, evolutionists often tell us we are *wrong* to assume the younger strata are always atop older strata. Why? Because the fossils found in so-called “younger” strata are often found BENEATH so-called “older” strata. When this occurs, as it quite frequently does, evolutionists become incredibly inventive. In order to tenaciously cling to their theories, in many regions, including large areas of significant mountain ranges, they seek to explain away arrangement of millions of tons of rocks miles upon miles of rocks, where the fossils are out of proper order; sometimes “upside down.” Not that they are *really* “upside down,” please note, but that it appears “older” fossils are found in rocks above “younger” fossils, when these “older” fossils were supposedly extinct for millions of years! Yet, the layers appear undisturbed! Problem! The rocks appear to have been smoothly laid down; are conformable to each other, showing no evidence of massive faulting, overthrusts, or any other activity. What a headache! What a problem for evolutionists! If they ADMIT what their eyes plainly tell them, they would be admitting their entire scheme of the “geologic succession of strata” is WRONG; admitting that the supposed younger fossils DID NOT EVOLVE from supposed older, simpler ones!

But such an admission would be DISASTROUS to evolutionists!

Presto! Forget the evidence. Claim the rocks are “upside down!” Those rocks just have no right to be sitting there, mute, weighing billions of tons, in a ridiculous posture, containing the wrong kind of fossils! Like many a clever defense attorney, just because the defendant is standing there, holding the gun, with the smoke still issuing forth, doesn’t mean he was the one who pulled the trigger!

What kind of force would be required to superimpose massive layers of rock, weighing millions of tons, atop other layers? Why, the kind of forces which caused mountain-building; overthrusts, isoclines, synclines and geosynclines. When one sees twisted, tilted, and folded strata, which is clearly visible throughout the Swiss Alps, many other major mountain ranges, and in highway cuts in Southern California, one is seeing evidence of massive earthquakes on a scale never experienced in the history of mankind. Whenever a “younger” layer of rock is

allegedly found beneath an “older” layer of rock, there is inescapable physical evidence which demonstrates how such an unusual phenomenon could have occurred. And, to be sure, there ARE cases where such things can and do occur. Such vast movement of massive regions of land would cause grinding, crushing destruction of the rocks closest to the moving layers, reforming them into “metamorphic” rocks, destroying most fossils. Certainly, there could not have survived such delicate fossil forms as worm tracks, ferns and leafs, ripple marks, and the like. Even a layman could look at two layers of rock, and determine if “slickensides,” the polished rocks formed at the place where faulting and slippage of the rocks occurred, is present. But what if the layer of rock (stratum) containing the so-called “older” fossils, and the stratum containing the so-called “younger” fossils beneath it show absolutely no evidence of any twisting, faulting, or movement? What if there is perfect conformity between them?

Obviously, they were *deposited just as you view them*. Therefore, the assertion that the fossils below other fossils are *younger* than the fossils atop them—perhaps by countless millions of years—is simply false. Though evolutionists may claim they are somehow “out of order,” or that we are viewing “deceptive conformity,” we are actually seeing the fossils in their respective layers of rock reposing in the exact order in which they were deposited.

You and I know that when mud is deposited by flooding, then gradually hardens, it begins to crack. Then, it erodes. Animals walk about upon it. Wind blows. Summer storms come along. In other words, any deposits of alluvial soil, slowly drying as the water which carried it there recedes, will show obvious evidence of the passage of time. Especially when that time is assumed to be measured in the millions or even billions of years!

When any two layers containing so-called “upside down” fossils record are lying perfectly, smoothly, uninterruptedly together, as if the tide of mud which had deposited the bottom layer had no sooner receded when another flow of different mud, containing different forms of life, came from another direction and was deposited immediately, it is obvious that the evolutionists have made a serious error in their dating theories. The rocks are not in error. Evolutionists are. When one cannot even slip a thin knife between two smoothly-mixed layers of sandstone; when there is absolutely no evidence of any erosion, or over thrust faulting (which would crush the rock, grind it, metamorphose it, and cause a completely different kind of rock structure), then one must assume the rocks were

deposited exactly as they appear — the older on the bottom, and the younger on the top, like your sheet and bedspread.

Of course, what you are looking at when you see such strata piled atop each other so uniformly is in itself evidence of a massive catastrophe; floods on unimaginable scale which held vast amounts of silts and muds in dissolution, and which came flowing over the recently-deposited mud of a previous tide. That huge amounts of the rocks in the earth's surface were deposited suddenly is anathema to evolutionists, for they detest the word "catastrophism," a word which means much of the geologic formations on the earth were the result of gigantic catastrophes, such as huge floods, giant earthquakes, and the unimaginable movement of the tectonic plates.

Evolutionists simply will not admit that different layers of strata, containing vastly different species, could have lived contemporaneously. Once having insisted that their supposed "geologic succession of strata" is correct, they stolidly refuse to alter the theory to suit the facts.

"Rock Bottom" — Where Is It? Which Layer Is "On The Bottom?"

Which stratum is the oldest of all fossil-bearing rock, and therefore (according to evolution) contains the "earliest" and "simplest" of all life forms? Long ago, evolutionary theory accepted as fact that primitive, simple life forms are invariably found at the "bottom" of the layers of rocks; that, as one progresses through layer after layer toward the "top," the life forms become ever more complex. This is a given. Virtually every high school graduate who has been introduced to only a little sample of geology, or history, or biology, has been told repeatedly that this is so. But it is not so.

Long ago, evolutionists used the order of fossils found in a few regions in Western Europe and New York state to establish their evolutionary column. They have assumed that fossil forms of ancient life are invariably found in the same order all over the world. Such is not the case. In fact, evolutionary geologists have not yet determined, with any degree of certainty, which layer of rock is the "bottom" insofar as the fossil record is concerned.

As one eminent geologist says, "For any given limited locality,

where stratigraphy can be followed out, the lowest beds are certainly the oldest. But we can make no progress by such a method when we come to deal with the world at large, for actual stratigraphical relationships can be proved over only very limited areas.

“These beds may be the lowest in this locality, may rest on the granite or crystalline schists, and have every appearance of antiquity. But other beds containing very different fossils, are in precisely this position elsewhere, and where stratigraphical order can no more prove the relative age of their fossils than the overlap of scales on a fish proves those at the tail to be older than those at the head” (*Evolutionary Geology And The New Catastrophism*, by Price: p. 78, emphasis mine).

Price goes on to show how “...any kind of fossiliferous rock whatever, even ‘young’ Tertiary rocks, may rest upon the Archaean or Azoic series, or may themselves be almost wholly metamorphosed or crystalline, thus resembling in position and outward appearance the so-called ‘oldest’ rocks” (ibid. p. 79).

In his chapter on “finding bottom,” Price concludes, “...I see no escape from the acknowledgment that the *doctrine of any particular fossils’ being essentially older than others is a pure invention*, with absolutely nothing in nature to support it” (ibid. p. 87).

Evolutionary geology operates on a false assumption that the layers of rock on the earth are invariably found in the same order, like the layers of an onion. Obviously the whole world is not like an onion, with the oldest rocks on the bottom, progressing upward until arriving at the most “recent” rocks, for the earth is *round*, after all, and each layer of sedimentary rock was *water borne*, and had to come from some other area, where the materials the water carried were scoured by massive floods; tides, rivers, and so-on. Logically, the area so scoured is now absent the exact amount of materials which were deposited elsewhere.

Bottom, or the lowest rocks next to the liquid magma upon which the tectonic plates “float” is naturally where *there are no fossils* in evidence, according to evolutionary theory. Bottom means, usually, “bedrock” of granite and various schists; metamorphic rock, atop which one finds sedimentary rock, containing various fossil forms. But, as Price proves, “Since the life-succession theory [evolution] rests logically and historically on the biological form of

Werner's onion-coat notion that only certain kinds of rocks (fossils) are to be found at the 'bottom,' or next to the Archaean, or Primitive, and it is now acknowledged everywhere that *any kind of rocks whatever* may be thus situated [including *Tertiary* rocks, containing fossils of mammoths and men!], it is as clear as sunlight that the life-succession theory rests logically and historically on a myth, and that there is *no way of proving what kind of fossil was buried first*"(ibid. p. 87).

In spite of such overwhelming evidence, evolutionists cling to their false theory. Students who intend entering the teaching field in the subjects of anthropology or paleontology are *not taught* from books such as those by Nelson, Price, Whitcomb and Morris, and a host of others. They are *never told* about such books, which are dismissed by evolutionary geologists; completely ignored.

Yet, there are many studious works which *completely dismantle* the evolutionary theory. Outstanding examples are *Darwin On Trial*, by Phillip E. Johnson, published by Regnery

Gateway, Washington, D.C., and *Evolution—Possible or Impossible?* by James F. Coppedge, published by Zondervan, Grand Rapids, Michigan, and *The Bone Peddlers—Selling Evolution*, by William R. Fix, published by Macmillan.

Price conclusively shows, *most* of the rocks of our earth prove *great catastrophes* occurred in the past; and most of the sedimentary rocks, including miles and miles of coal beds show *very recent* "catastrophes," such as *massive floods*. Since God's word speaks of a *global* flood, and the rocks cry out in a great roaring voice that "A FLOOD DID THIS," only a fool would ignore the obvious message of the rocks. It requires on the average about a *forty foot thick layer of vegetation, ripped up, and water borne* to then be crushed beneath subsequent layers of muds to form a seam of coal only *one foot thick*. Coal beds prove *gargantuan catastrophes* in the past, as do many, many other strata, such as marbles, which are sometimes formed from solid masses of sea bottom life.

All such evidence completely destroys the theory that it required vast aeons of time for various forms of life to "evolve" into other, remarkably different forms of life; that men eventually evolved from "simple, one-celled animals" like amoeba.

To assume that our continents and islands; the massive mountain ranges of our earth, and all topographical features of the land are the result of "uniformity";

that we are viewing the results of a very slow, gradual process which required billions of years is sheer nonsense.

Our present river systems and deltas portray only a very recent development. In the North American continent are hundreds of dry lake beds, vast areas where ancient inland sea shores are clear by visible. The Great Salt Lake is but a tiny puddle remaining from massive "Lake Bonneville," which was a huge inland sea at one time. The Mississippi River is but a tiny trickle when compared with the monstrous drainage basin that once surged toward the South, carrying untold amounts of silts and sediments that were deposited across many states. When the North American continent was thrust upward from the seas which covered it, the buckling of the tectonic plates beneath caused the massive mountain building that is evident by looking at the great Rocky Mountains; the Cascades, and the Sierra Madre mountains. In the river valleys one discovers deep layers of sedimentary rocks. Along the spines of the mountains, one sees the ancient granites and schists; up thrusts of "bedrock" that soar as high as 14,000 feet.

Here and there, at incredibly high elevations, one discovers fossils which could only have existed in the *seas*; fossils preserved, not through the process of gradual change, but uprooted, sorted by alluvial action, and deposited by the millions, *suddenly*.

The vast San Joaquin Valley of California was once a gigantic bay, teeming with sea life. At its southern end, around Bakersfield, are supposedly some of the "oldest" mountains found on the North American continent. Why the so-called oldest? Because paleontologists have found fossilized sharks there, and, since the kinds of sharks found "belong" to a certain stratum found elsewhere, and therefore must be of a certain "age," that same age is assigned to the hills around Bakersfield.

Among these rolling, yet steep and gravelly hills is "Shark Tooth Mountain." Actually, it is not just one mountain, but a number of ridges and ravines; smaller hills, which contain countless hundreds of thousands of shark's teeth and bones.

Many years ago, it proved one of the most exciting "classes" of the year when I would arrange a field trip for my college students to go to "Shark Tooth Mountain." We would take wire mesh baskets with wooden frames, into which we would shovel the gravelly soil. By shaking the loose soil rapidly, like a winnowing

process, the dirt and smaller pieces would fall through the mesh, and, with surprising regularity, we would discover shark's teeth of various sizes.

Our field trips proved to us that, at some time perhaps many thousands of years ago, a vast inland basin, or bay, had existed in central California, of which San Francisco Bay is but a tiny remnant. Further, that this shallow inland basin, or sea, contained countless fish and sharks. Further, that some great *catastrophe* had suddenly killed all that sea life. Further, that, due to the sorting action of water, and massive tides flowing this way and that as the former inland sea drained, the decaying bodies of millions of fish and sharks were mangled and torn; that there were so many of them, that hundreds of thousands of *teeth* were deposited in one small region. A study of specific gravity; the density of various bodies in water and how they are "sorted" by fluvial action is all that is necessary to understand the process.

"Reading" the rocks by noting the kinds of fossil forms found within them; the shape and texture of the rocks; the accompanying rocks above, below, and all around them, is not difficult. These rounded, yet steep hills had obviously been deposited by massive tides and waves which had sluiced back and forth for many years, as what had once been a salt water basin was being raised above the level of the Pacific, and the millions of creatures which lived in it were trapped, died, were torn apart; their remains being "sorted" so that they were deposited as we found them.

Most of the students were able to find shark's teeth, and many of them were lying at, or very near the surface. I picked up several, including some fairly large ones.

The entire journey was a fascinating study in paleontology and geology, for in the highway cuts between the mountains, we could see plain evidence of massive faulting, folding, twisting of the strata. Of course, we drove right through the famous "San Andreas" fault, where mind-boggling earthquakes have occurred in the past.

Perhaps those who live on the plains, or in farming states like Iowa or Illinois, are not quite so aware of how great catastrophes formed and shaped our continent. Yet, they have only to look at the rich black soil of Iowa, note its depth, determine which kinds of rocks lie beneath it, and so on, to appreciate how America's richest soil was formed by the fluvial action of water, many thousands

of years ago. It is ludicrous to assume, for example, that the Grand Canyon of Arizona is the result of the slow, gradual scouring action of today's Colorado River! One only has to journey downstream for a few hundred miles, to the dams along the Colorado River system along the border with California, to see "conglomerates" and other sedimentary deposits which show immediate evidence of *massive* river flows in the past.

When you see huge stones, as large as automobiles, lying mixed among rocks of every conceivable size and shape, as well as gravels and sand-when those rocks, no matter how large, or how small, are rounded; many of them smoothed off, so as to have very few jagged edges, it means they were rolled and tumbled along for many, many miles together.

The operative word is "together." It requires massive flows of water to tumble rocks that are as large as a house! Only by river flows that are hundreds of times larger than the present flow of the Colorado could those rocks have been deposited *together*, obviously *at the same time*.

Evolutionists may not like the word "catastrophe" being applied to geology and paleontology, but it is the only word applicable when one looks at the plain chapter and verse of the rocks themselves. Only a casual study of the Grand Canyon tells us of at least *two* of the most massive floods imaginable: the first, when the huge layers of sedimentary rock were deposited all over the southwest-layers which lie smoothly mixed, perfectly conformable to each other, for many, many miles. The second, when those water-deposited layers were scoured to the depth of one mile, carving out the most awesome, massive canyon on earth, and depositing billions of tons of rock in jumbled profusion for hundreds of miles.

"Uniform" flows of the Colorado did not deposit the gigantic layers of sandstone, many of them 60 to 80 feet thick, mixed as smoothly as if in a giant blender, which are visible along the rim of the Grand Canyon. Neither did "uniform" flow of the river, even including seasonal variations due to flooding, accomplish the scouring of the entire Grand Canyon.

Your eyes and the camera which can record such awesome sights, and which does not lie, tell you, "this was a massive catastrophe" at some ancient time in the past, not the result of gradual processes; not the result of the deposition of sands and

silts along a single river bank.

Evolutionary Geology And The New Catastrophism, by George M. Price (Pacific Press) is a book I highly recommend to serious students of the question of whether evolution is true, or whether God exists. It is replete with examples from all over the world like that mentioned above; geologic evidence of “catastrophes,” which occurred *suddenly* which are simply irrefutable. Unfortunately, it may be out of print, although it might be possible for one of the large Internet book sellers to find a copy, or it might be found in a used book store.

All of the facts you have read prove overwhelmingly that there simply IS no proof found in the rocks of our earth that simple one-celled animals “evolved” into sponges, fish, mammals, horses, apes, and man!

Instead, the rocks cry out that gigantic catastrophes, such as the flood of Noah, and many huge floods long prior to the time of Adam, buried billions of creatures, which remain as fossils. The burial of ancient pre-Adamic life has meant that man has been provided with “fossil fuel” in the form of billions of tons of coal and crude oil. All of this is proof of huge catastrophes, not of a gradual deposition of strata much as we see it today.

The proofs of God are myriad. There are SEVEN basic proofs of the existence of God, and each of the seven deserves hundreds of encyclopedic books to illustrate. Briefly, they are, (1) Creation itself — the very existence of matter—demands a Creator. (2) Law; immutable, unchangeable, inexorable law, demands a Lawgiver. (3) Design; intricate, interdependent, as in the case of symbiosis, demands a Designer. (4) Life, in all its breathtaking forms, from the micro-bacteria to great blue whales, demands a Lifegiver, since life comes only from preexisting life, and preexisting life of the *same kind*. (5) The *operation* of all the forces and powers which regulate our earth, such as gravity and inertia, and all the physical laws of science, such as the formation of crystals, the cleavage properties of minerals, etc., demand a great Sustaining Force; a Sustainer. (6) The many *fulfilled prophecies* of the Bible, notably those of the great city states and empires of the past, such as found in Daniel’s prophecies in Daniel 2, 4, 7 and 11, together with dozens of others in Isaiah, Jeremiah, and many other prophets, absolutely prove the existence of God, who is able to interfere in human affairs to bring about His purpose. (7) *Answered prayer*, sometimes in the form of miraculous *healings* of deadly disease, prove there is a God who hears, and answers prayer.

Remember, your watch had a maker. Your automobile had a designer and a manufacturer. You had parents, who had parents, who had parents. The footsteps of mankind lead away from the Middle East, not from the Olduvai Gorge in Africa. The spade of the archaeologist always confirms the biblical account, never disputes it. Every time you take a breath of air, and with every beat of your heart, you experience a proof of God.

It is only an “educated fool” who denies what his own eyes and common sense tell him.

“Only The Fool...”

It is only the “fool” who “hath said in his heart, there is no God.” Notice more of what God said through Paul about the evolutionary theory, and the MOTIVES of evolutionists: “For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse:

“Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened.

“Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools,

“And changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and fourfootedbeasts, and creeping things.

“Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to dishonour their own bodies between themselves:

“Who changed the truth of God into a lie, and worshipped and served the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed for ever. Amen.

“For this cause God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature:

“And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompense of their error which was meet [“fitting,” a vague reference to such horrible diseases as AIDS].

“And even as they *did not like to retain God in their knowledge*, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient [not right; not lawful]” (Romans 1:20-28).

If you read my other brochure on evolution, published a few months ago, you saw the plain admission of the MOTIVES of evolutionists, who do not want knowledge of God, or of His laws, interfering with their life styles.

Soon, now, we will no longer be living in a time where men are in the slightest doubt about the existence of God. Soon, now, and Christ will rend the heavens, and appear at the helm of His invading, conquering army, to OCCUPY this earth, and rule it with a rod of iron! At that time, there will not be a single skeptic, agnostic, or atheist left anywhere on earth!

You may copy and distribute this information only to friends and family without changes, without charge and with full credit given to the author and publisher.

You may not publish it for general audiences.

This publication is intended to be used as a personal study tool. Please know it is not wise to take any man's word for anything, so prove all things for yourself from the pages of your own Bible.

The activities of the Garner Ted Armstrong Evangelistic Association are paid for by tithes, offerings and donations freely given by Christians and co-workers who are dedicated to preaching the gospel according to Jesus Christ.